From: David Fischer [david.fischer@bayer.com]

Sent: 9/11/2013 8:29:32 PM

To: Moriarty, Thomas [Moriarty.Thomas@epa.gov]

CC: Mimi Meredith [mmeredith@setac.org]

Subject: Re: questions about Fig 6-2 in pollinators book

I'd prefer to keep the primary routes of exposure having thicker (heavier) lines/arrows. They were drawn this way intentionally to emphasize the relative importance.

If we don't indicate this in the text, we should add it.

Dave

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 11, 2013, at 2:58 PM, "Moriarty, Thomas" <Moriarty.Thomas@epa.gov> wrote:

> In reality, yes,
> But because I don't think we don't explain that heavy lines represent primary routes of expouse, then we could make them all equal.

> I will 2x check the text to make sure and reply

> > ----Original Message----> From: Mimi Meredith [mailt

> From: Mimi Meredith [mailto:mmeredith@setac.org]
> Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 2:56 PM

> To: Moriarty, Thomas; David Fischer
> Subject: Re: questions about Fig 6-2 in pollinators book

> 'Nother question: Is the size (heaviness) of the arrows in Figure 6-2 significant?

> Nother > Thanks, > Mimi > >

The information contained in this e-mail is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s) and may be confidential, proprietary, and/or legally privileged. Inadvertent disclosure of this message does not constitute a waiver of any privilege. If you receive this message in error, please do not directly or indirectly use, print, copy, forward, or disclose any part of this message. Please also delete this e-mail and all copies and notify the sender. Thank you.

For alternate languages please go to http://bayerdisclaimer.bayerweb.com